

Equality and Human Rights Screening Template

The BSO is required to address the 4 questions below in relation to all its policies.

What is the likely impact on equality of opportunity for those affected by this policy, for each of the Section 75 equality categories? (minor/major/none)

Are there opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity for people within the Section 75 equality categories?

To what extent is the policy likely to impact on good relations between people of a different religious belief, political opinion or racial group? (minor/major/none)

Are there opportunities to better promote good relations between people of a different religious belief, political opinion or racial group?

As part of the audit trail documentation needs to be made available for all policies and decisions examined for equality and human rights implications. The screening template is a pro forma to document consideration of each screening question.

For information (evidence, data, research etc.) on the Section 75 equality groups see the Equality Portal - Screening Resources & Evidence.

SCREENING TEMPLATE

See <u>Guidance Notes</u> for further information on the 'why' 'what' 'when', and 'who' in relation to screening, for background information on the relevant legislation and for help in answering the questions on this template.

(1) INFORMATION ABOUT THE POLICY OR DECISION

1.1 Title of policy or decision

BSO Policy on the use of Artificial Intelligence

1.2 Description of policy or decision

This policy is to help staff to make informed decisions on how they can use Al technologies in a safe manner, and to set out specific boundaries with how we wish staff to operate. We will establish governance around the use of these technologies to support the service improvements we believe it can drive to focus on several key themes: -

- Quality
- Productivity
- Safety
- Integrity

1.3 Main stakeholders affected (internal and external)

All BSO staff

This policy does not cover client organisations or remove their requirement for governance around the use of AI. In order to utilise AI, client organisation(s) must manage the risk of their data through existing governance measures and sign off the risk of any AI product before the service accesses HSCNI data.

1.4 Other policies or decisions with a bearing on this policy or decision

Generative AI framework for UK Government (publishing.service.gov.uk) ai-playbook-for-the-uk-government

NHS England » Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning

<u>Digital Technology Assessment Criteria (DTAC) - Key tools and information - NHS Transformation Directorate (england.nhs.uk)</u>

<u>Understanding RPA - Guidance for designing, delivering, and sustaining RPA within the NHS - NHS Transformation Directorate (england.nhs.uk)</u>

RPA Guidance - Final.pptx (england.nhs.uk)

Guidance on AI and data protection | ICO

Al and data protection risk toolkit | ICO

UK General Data Protection Regulations 2021

Data Protection Act 2018

Privacy and Electronic Communications Regulations

The Data Use and Access Act 2025

(2) CONSIDERATION OF EQUALITY AND GOOD RELATIONS ISSUES AND EVIDENCE USED

2.1 Data gathering

What information did you use to inform this equality screening? For example previous consultations, statistics, research, Equality Impact Assessments (EQIAs), complaints. Provide details of how you involved stakeholders, views of colleagues, service users, staff side or other stakeholders.

Iterations of this policy was shared with a range of stakeholders including DHCNI; Trusts, Information Governance leads, Internal Audit, Cyber Security Programme, HR and legal services. Comments and feedback have been incorporated as appropriate. Qualitative Data in section 2.2 relates to BSO Workforce Data (September 2025)

2.2 Quantitative Data

Who is affected by the policy or decision? Please provide a statistical profile. Note if policy affects both staff and service users, please provide profile for both. Also give consideration to multiple identities.

Category	issues or problems	? For ex	iffected group? (%) Are there any ample, a lower uptake that needs involvement of a particular group?
	Data supplied by Dir 2025. BSO Workford		of People and Place, September September 2025)
Gender	Male	47.34%	
	Female	52.66%	
Age	16-24	4.21%	
	25-29	9.72%	
	30-34	11.15%	
	35-39	14.44%	
	40-44	13.88%	
	45-49	13.70%	
	50-54	12.54%	
	55-59	11.66%	
	60-64	6.48%	
	>=65	2.22%	
_			

Deligion	Danasina d Bartanta	4 740/	
Religion	Perceived Protestant	1.71%	-
	Protestant	24.25%	_
	Perceived Roman Catholic	1.43%	-
	Roman Catholic	36.46%	-
	Neither	6.39%	-
	Perceived Neither	0.05%	-
D 11/1 1	Not assigned	29.71%	
Political	Broadly Nationalist	3.56%	-
Opinion	Other	4.53%	-
	Broadly Unionist	3.42%	-
	Not assigned	82.55%	-
	Do not wish to answer	5.92%	
Marital	Divorced	1.53%	
Status	Mar/CP	32.53%	
	Other	1.02%	
	Separt	0.28%	
	Single	10.50%	
	Unknwn	53.40%	
	Widw/R	0.56%	
	Not assigned	0.19%	
Dependent	Yes	11.06%	
Status	Not assigned	81.49%	
	No	7.45%	
Disability	No	31.47%	
J	Not assigned	66.64%	
	Yes	1.90%	
Ethnicity	Not assigned	77.09%	
,	White	22.30%	1
	Other	0.09%	
	Black African	0.05%	
	Indian	0.28%	
	Filipino	0.05%	
	Mixed Ethnic Group	0.09%	
		0.05%	
	Chinese	0.0370	4
Sexual			
Sexual Orientation	Do not wish to answer	1.34%	
Sexual Orientation	Do not wish to answer Not assigned	1.34% 82.28%	
	Do not wish to answer	1.34%	

2.3 Qualitative Data

What are the different needs, experiences and priorities of each of the categories in relation to this policy or decision and what equality issues emerge from this? Note if policy affects both staff and service users, please discuss issues for both. Also give consideration to multiple identities (such as single parents for example).

Category	Needs and Experiences
Gender	This policy, directly, has no different needs, experiences and
Age	priorities related to any of these categories.
Religion	
Political	The subsequent use and adoption of AI may have implications in
Opinion	respect of the S75 categories of gender and racial group. Some
Marital	research published indicates that AI may have the potential to
Status	perpetuate gender bias - 'When Good Algorithms Go Sexist :
Dependent	Why and How to Advance Al Gender Equity; Stanford Social
Status	Innovation Review , 31.03.2021.; Artificial Intelligence and
Disability	<u>gender equality UN Women – Headquarters</u> which references
Ethnicity	Al gender bias and a study by the Berkeley Haas Centre for
Sexual Orientation	Equity, Gender and Leadership which analysed 133 Al systems across different industries and found that 44% of them showed gender bias and 25% exhibited both gender and racial bias.
	There is potential benefits from the use of some AI tools that may now be able to be adopted with this policy in place eg AI tools are able to summarise large quantities of text which is helpful for people with dyslexia

2.4 Making Changes

Based on the equality issues you identified in 2.2 and 2.3, what changes did you make or do you intend to make in relation to the policy or decision in order to promote equality of opportunity?

In developing the policy or decision what did you do or change to address the equality issues you identified?	What do you intend to do in future to address the equality issues you identified?
Potential gender and racial bias	Established Controls, as included in the Policy, should mitigate any potential equality implications/issues in respect of implementation of the Policy.

2.5 Good Relations

What changes to the policy or decision – if any – or what additional measures would you suggest to ensure that it promotes good relations? (refer to guidance notes for guidance on impact)

Group	Impact	Suggestions
Religion	None required	
Political Opinion		
Ethnicity		

(3) SHOULD THE POLICY OR DECISION BE SUBJECT TO A FULL EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT?

A full equality impact assessment (EQIA) is usually confined to those policies or decisions considered to have major implications for equality of opportunity

How would you categorise the impacts of this decision or policy? (refer to guidance notes for guidance on impact)

Do you consider that this policy or decision needs to be subjected to a full equality impact assessment?

Please tick:

Major impact	
Minor impact	
No further impact	√

Please tick:

Yes	
No	✓

4.1 In what ways does the policy or decision encourage disabled people to participate in public life and what else could you do to do so?

How does the policy or decision currently encourage disabled people to participate in public life?	What else could you do to encourage disabled people to participate in public life?

4.2 In what ways does the policy or decision promote positive attitudes towards disabled people and what else could you do to do so?

How does the policy or decision currently promote positive attitudes towards disabled people?	What else could you do to promote positive attitudes towards disabled people?

(5) CONSIDERATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS

5.1 Does the policy or decision affect anyone's Human Rights? Complete for each of the articles

ARTICLE	Yes/No
Article 2 – Right to life	
Article 3 – Right to freedom from torture, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment	
Article 4 – Right to freedom from slavery, servitude & forced or compulsory labour	
Article 5 – Right to liberty & security of person	
Article 6 – Right to a fair & public trial within a reasonable time	
Article 7 – Right to freedom from retrospective criminal law & no punishment without law	
Article 8 – Right to respect for private & family life, home and correspondence.	
Article 9 – Right to freedom of thought, conscience & religion	
Article 10 – Right to freedom of expression	
Article 11 – Right to freedom of assembly & association	
Article 12 – Right to marry & found a family	
Article 14 – Prohibition of discrimination in the enjoyment of the convention rights	
1 st protocol Article 1 – Right to a peaceful enjoyment of possessions & protection of property	
1 st protocol Article 2 – Right of access to education	

If you have answered no to all of the above please move on to **Question 6** on monitoring

5.2	If you have answered yes to any of the Articles in 5.1, does the policy
	or decision interfere with any of these rights? If so, what is the
	interference and who does it impact upon?

List the Article Number	Interfered with? Yes/No	What is the interference and who does it impact upon?	Does this raise legal issues?* Yes/No

^{*} It is important to speak to your line manager on this and if necessary seek legal opinion to clarify this

5.3	Outline any actions which could be taken to promote or raise awareness of human rights or to ensure compliance with the legislation in relation to the policy or decision.	

(6) MONITORING

6.1 What data will you collect in the future in order to monitor the effect of the policy or decision on any of the categories (for equality of opportunity and good relations, disability duties and human rights)?

Equality & Good Relations	Disability Duties	Human Rights
Feedback and post project projects implemented will be will be updated accordingly	The policy will be reviewed and amended based on any legislative changes	

Approved Lead Officer:

Position:

Interim Assistant Director BSO ITS

Date:

03/10/2025

Policy/Decision Screened by:

Please note that having completed the screening you are required by statute to publish the completed screening template, as per your organisation's equality scheme. If a consultee, including the Equality Commission, raises a concern about a screening decision based on supporting evidence, you will need to review the screening decision.

Please forward completed template to: Equality.Unit@hscni.net

Any request for the document in another format or language will be considered. Please contact the Equality Unit:

Equality Unit/ BSO /James House/ 2-4 Cromac Avenue/ Belfast/ BT7 2JA Tel: 028 9536 3961